RESTORATIVE PRACTICES AS EXTRA-JUDICIAL MECHANISMS TO RESOLVE LAND DISPUTES

O.I. NASTINA, PhD (Law), National Agrarian University of Bila Tserkva

A study of extra-judicial ways to protect land rights and mechanisms for resolving land disputes.

Land disputes, protection of rights, settlement of land disputes.

Relevance of the topic due to inefficiency of the judicial and administrative orders of protection of land rights, the imperfection of the current procedural legislation, the lack of a common practice of considering land disputes.

The emergence of new land and legal disputes and litigation and corresponding increase in lawsuits resists the lack of effective mechanisms to protect land disputes out of court .

Protection of subjective rights on the ground is the protection of the powers and interests of the land owner or land user. Right to protection - an opportunity to use civil legal actions for recovery of damaged or contested rights.

Special ways to protect land rights regarding specific violations of rights of land owners and land users. They are caused by a particular range authorized or obligated persons and emergencies .

Scientists point out that to solve land disputes can be applied jurisdictional and no jurisdictional forms of protection. The last are unregulated by law and are scarcely explored in science.

With the development of science land law doctrinal views on the nature of land disputes have different meanings.

In Ukraine restorative justice model for non criminal matters most are at the pilot projects that take public organizations.

Today boundary disputes are the most common in Ukraine among land disputes. However, legal ways to solve them for the most part ineffective because it does not solve the conflict that arose between the parties, and especially the dispute is solved in the framework of law .

The problem of increasing number of permanent land legal conflicts can not be solved completely and immediately. Towards solving problems and reducing legal disputes through proper regulation can only affect the gradual formation of extra-judicial conciliation practices and dissemination of good practices , because justice in the individual categories of disputes is inefficient.