## LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR VIOLATIONS IN THE FIELD OF PROTECTION, USE AND REPRODUCTION OF AQUATIC BIORESOURCES

## L.O. SVIATCHENKO, PhD(Law), National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine

In article the analysis of the current legislation concerning a ratio of separate types of legal responsibility for commission of offenses in the sphere of ensuring protection, use and reproduction of water bioresources in Ukraine is carried out.

## Legal responsibility, disciplinary responsibility, administrative responsibility, criminal liability, water bioresources, offenses.

To ensure the protection, use and reproduction of aquatic resources domestic legislation provides the legal responsibility for the Commission of offences in this area. Violation of fishing rules and regulations regarding the protection, use and reproduction of aquatic resources entail disciplinary, administrative, civil or criminal responsibility in accordance with legislation.

The aim of the article is to study the current legislation on the question of the relation of individual types of legal responsibility for violations in the field of protection, use and reproduction of aquatic biological resources in Ukraine.

When considering the ratios of certain types of legal liability, you must pay attention to certain shortcomings of the current legislation. In practice, there are cases where the materials should be sent to administrative responsibility instead of passing them to the investigating authorities for criminal prosecution. One of the reasons for this situation is the lack of clarity in the law on the delimitation of the offences for which entails administrative, and for which criminal liability. In Art. 63 of the law of Ukraine "About animal world" contains a list of offences the Commission of which the perpetrators liable to administrative, civil or criminal liability. However, it is not exhaustive, as specified in the regulations stipulate that laws may impose liability for other violations of the legislation on protection and use of wildlife. In the above law does not contain a distinction between offences by type of liability, so in the process of criminal or administrative liability should be governed by special legislation.

Such shortcomings in the legal regulation of pulling a lot of confusion when determining the type of legal liability in some cases.

This confirms the need for further study of this issue, which will be developed and offered appropriate proposals on improvement of legislation with the declared problems to avoid collisions.