ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL PROTECTION OF PROPERTY AND NON-PROPERTY AND PROPERTY RIGHTS IN UKRAINE

Y.V. MARUSCHAK, applicant,

National University of bioresources and nature management of Ukraine

The article describes the main directions solve the problems of administrative and legal protection of property and non-property and property rights. The role of administrative law in the protection of property rights of citizens.

Property, intangible property, legal and administrative protection, executive.

The need to improve the administration of legislation in the sphere of protection of property and non-property rights of Ukraine caused by the demand of the time. Currently the Code of Ukraine on administrative offences does not fully protects property rights. Developed independent economic structure of the state is impossible without guaranteed protection in this area. So you need, as quickly as possible ,to develop a legal theory administrative law and protection of property and non-property rights in Ukraine, to formulate proposals for improving the effectiveness of protection of property rights.

Proclaimed Ukraine's integration into the European Union and accession to the WTO requires protection of property rights at this level that already exists in economically developed countries. At the same time, from the creation of an optimal system of administrative and legal protection of property and non-property rights depends on the strength of economic development to create innovative models of modernization and competitiveness in the world.

The objective of this article is to review issues related to the protection of property and non-property and property rights, identifying and bridging the gaps in this area. At the time of occurrence of the Ukrainian lands in the composition of foreign States the problem of protection of property rights was considered in view of the existing system of values, according to which non-property and property ownership was recognized equivalent, and sometimes, even, property rights, more valuable than the life and health of the person. The result of this situation was that the problem of protection of property rights, in particular administrative law, through the prism of security inherent, inalienable rights of man and citizen as a holistic phenomenon of legal science is practically not developed.

The least element of the study the essence of administrative and legal protection of property rights, its "cellular level" is an individual person, limited to his will, with its inherent natural needs the protection of life, health, honor, dignity - in particular the rights to own, dispose of, use of, to profit personally or together with other owners with their private property, and dignity to live in a safe surrounding natural and artificially created environment.

It should be noted that currently the situation with the sources of law that regulate social relations in the sphere of administrative and legal protection of property and non-property rights, remains difficult: they are imperfect, and in some cases contradictory. This is primarily due to a superficial study of the rights, powers and duties, legal status of subjects of administrative and legal protection in the regulations. Central to this is administrative-legal acts, which regulate the relationship of administrative and legal protection of property and non-property and property rights. The conclusions. In conditions of a democratic state, in which the main value is the people, and all forms of property are equally under the protection of the subjects of public administration, the subject of administrative and legal protection of property and non-property rights property is formed as a system of social relations between actors in the public administration and property owners, persons who infringe upon the right of property of citizens, who may be harmed property high risk, governance, multi-faceted protection of the rights and freedoms of citizens in the property sector, providing administrative services, internal organization of the Executive authorities, as well as to the violators of the regime of ownership of the means of administrative enforcement.